## IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF KANAWHA COUNTY, WEST VIRGINIA

SENECA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Plaintiff,

SEP : 3 2009

Attorney College

Civil Action No. 07-C-837 Honorable Irene Berger, Judge

STATE TAX DEPARTMENT OF THE WEST VIRGINIA DEPARTMENT OF TAX AND REVENUE and VIRGIL HELTON, as WEST VIRGINIA STATE TAX COMMISSIONER.

Defendants,

and

٧.

PHYLLIS GATSON, KANAWHA COUNTY ASSESSOR, and WEST VIRGINIA COURTHOUSE FACILITIES IMPROVEMENT AUTHORITY,

Intervenors.



## FINAL ORDER

The Court has reviewed the Intervenors' memoranda, the Petitioner's responses, the Intervenor Assessor's reply, the memoranda submitted by the West Virginia Department of Tax and Revenue, the oral arguments of counsel and the applicable statutes. After careful review of the same, and given the undisputed statement that the term "map sheet" is a term of art in the mapping community, the Court finds that its prior ruling was in error and that West Virginia Code §11-1C-7 applies to paper copies as well as electronic copies. Further, the Court finds that there is conflict between West Virginia Code §11-1C-7 and West

Virginia Code §29B-1-1, et seq. However, both statutes should be interpreted so that they are meaningful, and we must assume that the Legislature was aware of West Virginia Code §29B-1-1, et seq. when it passed West Virginia Code §11-1C-7.

Specifically, West Virginia Code §11-1C-7 requires specific payment for the production of the tax map sheet, while West Virginia Code §29B-1-3(5) requires disclosure of public information generally at the actual cost of producing the same. There seems to be no dispute that the tax map sheets are public records as that term is defined by West Virginia Code §29B-1-2(4). The purpose of the Freedom of Information Act is to ensure that citizens are able to access full and complete information regarding the affairs of government and the official acts of those who represent them as public officials and employees. Further, we know that the term "public record" shall be given a liberal interpretation to achieve the purpose of the Act. In this instance, the Legislature has provided a specific means via West Virginia Code §11-1C-7 to obtain the requested tax map sheets. This specific statute should apply over the more general Freedom of Information statute. Given the purpose of the latter statute, a further conflict is presented when a citizen seeking the public records of map sheets cannot financially afford the requirements of West Virginia Code §11-1C-7(e). However, this Court is not called upon to resolve that issue under the facts presented here. Lastly, since the Petitioner was not denied access to the records, the Court reaffirms its prior ruling regarding attorney's fees.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to forward a certified copy of this order to all

counsel of record. Enter this // day of September 2009. Honorable Irene Berger, Judge ERK OF CIRCUIT COURT OF SAID COUNTY Karen Tracy McElhinny, Esq. (WVSB 7517) Shuman, McCuskey & Slicer, PLLC Post Office Box 3953 Charleston, WV 25339 Counsel for Phyllis Gatson, Intervenor Acknowledged by: O Phasestro by Kon Anthony J. Majestro, Esq. (WVSB 5165) L. Wayne Williams, Esq. (WVSB 4370) Powell & Majestro, PLLC Attorney General's Office 405 Capitol Street, Suite P-1200 Bldg. 1, Room W-435 Charleston, WV 25301 Charleston, WV 25305 Counsel for Seneca Technologies, Inc. Counsel for State Tax Department of the West Virginia Department of Tax and Revenue and Virgil Helton Anthony D. Eates, II, Esq. (WVSB 7708) Assistant Attorney General

State Capitol Complex Bldg. 1, Room E-26 Charleston, WV 25305

Counsel for West Virginia Courthouse Facilities Improvement Authority